

**From:** Gilberto Irizarry/DC/USEPA/US  
**Sent:** 11/15/2012 7:21:47 AM

**To:** James Woolford/DC/USEPA/US@EPA; Larry Stanton/DC/USEPA/US@EPA; tulis.dana@epa.gov

**CC:**  
**Subject:** Re: Dimock

Yep ! Thanks !  
Tito

-----  
Sent by Blackberry. Please excuse typos.

Gilberto "Tito" Irizarry  
Director, Prog. Ops & Coordination Division  
Office of Emergency Management  
US Environmental Protection Agency - HQ  
O: (202) 564-7982  
C: (202) 821-8138

**From:** James Woolford  
**To:** Larry Stanton; "Dana Tulis" <tulis.dana@epa.gov>; Gilberto Irizarry  
**Date:** 11/15/2012 07:17 AM EST  
**Subject:** Fw: Dimock

Shld be yours...  
Jim Woolford, Director  
Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation  
Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response  
US EPA

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Lisa Feldt  
**Sent:** 11/14/2012 06:44 PM EST  
**To:** Steve Jones; James Woolford  
**Subject:** Re: Dimock

Thanks

**From:** Steve Jones  
**To:** Lisa Feldt; James Woolford  
**Cc:**  
**Date:** 11/14/2012 04:54 PM EST  
**Subject:** Dimock

Lisa, I spoke with Lora Werner, the Regional Director for the ATSDR office in Reg. 3. The Dimock health consultation is currently scheduled to be internally released to EPA for review (not the public) this December, although Lora indicated it could slip to early January. I asked about how the document deals with methane, and she indicated that it is similar to most ATSDR documents with regards to methane: results above 10,000 ppb would indicate further sampling to better characterize, while results greater than 28,000 ppb would indicate a recommendation for venting. Health effects information related to methane is limited and the document indicates that. Please let me know if you have any further questions and I will keep you informed on the progress of the health consultation, thanks.

-----  
Steven Jones  
Director

ATSDR Liaison Office to EPA HQ  
703-603-8729 (w)  
703-606-3885 (c)